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Infrastructure

Definition
Municipal infrastructure is the collection of various physical assets which are managed and maintained by a municipality to provide services or benefits to its residents and businesses.
City of Victoria Infrastructure

Examples

• Parks, Public Art, Trails, Trees, Shoreline

• Roads, Sidewalks, Plazas, Streetlights

• Vehicles, Machinery, Tools

• Underground Pipes, Pump stations, Hydrants

• Civic Facilities, Furniture, Computers
The Federation of Canadian Municipalities has released a report entitled:

*DANGER AHEAD: The Coming Collapse of Canada’s Municipal Infrastructure*

Dated November 2007
The report emphasized the following important facts:

• Canadian municipalities own and maintain most of the infrastructure.

• The infrastructure supports our economy and quality of life.

• The majority of the infrastructure was built between 1950 and 1970 and is due for replacement.

• The condition of the infrastructure is deteriorating and the cost of maintaining it is increasing.

• The infrastructure deficit has increased from $12 billion (1985) to $123 billion (2007) within Canada.
The growth of the municipal infrastructure deficit is accelerating due to the deferral of maintenance and the increasing costs for repair and replacement.

This challenge can be partially met through the implementation of industry best practices, asset management and technological development.

Additional funding for infrastructure will be necessary in order for it to be sustainable over the long-term.
City of Victoria Perspective on Aging Infrastructure

• Our municipal infrastructure is vital in sustaining the economic, environmental, social and cultural life of the community.

• The quality of life enjoyed by City’s citizens is directly related to the condition of the infrastructure, such as quality of drinking water.

• The Engineering Department manages approximately $1.7 billion in infrastructure, excluding land value.
CoV infrastructure is amongst the oldest in Canada, with some built prior to the turn of the last century.

Much of it is nearing or has exceeded the end of its design life.

A multi-faceted approach is required to maintain it in good condition.

Additional funding is required!
Corporate Strategic Plan and Linkage to Infrastructure

2007-2009 Corporate Strategic Plan

- Vision: To be the most livable city in Canada.
- Mission: To enhance the vitality of our region through exceptional leadership and stewardship of our cultural, social, economic and environmental assets.
- Infrastructure directly relates to the quality of life enjoyed by Victoria’s citizens in environmental, economic and social dimensions.
- A key objective is that “Community infrastructure is well-preserved, maintained and planned for future generations.”
## Infrastructure Inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Infrastructure Type</strong></th>
<th><strong>Description</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civic Facilities</td>
<td>70 buildings and ancillary structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water System</td>
<td>325 km of main, 18,000 services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Drain System</td>
<td>253 km of main, 10,000 services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewer System</td>
<td>240 km of main, 14,000 services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads and Sidewalks</td>
<td>258 km of road, 453 km of sidewalks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet and Equipment</td>
<td>262 vehicles and equipment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Lights</td>
<td>6473 units and 728 cluster lights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>Johnson Street, Point Ellice, Gorge Road</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Civic Facilities

- Parkades
- Police Station
- City Hall
- Community Centers
- Fire Halls
Civic Facilities Challenges

- Need to preserve historical and heritage buildings and structures.
- Need to upgrade buildings to meet current code for safety, health and working conditions.
- Need to minimize risk and liability with aging buildings.
- Continuous increase in the cost of repairing and replacing civic facilities (historically >inflation)
- Need to increase maintenance funding to deal with existing deferred maintenance – VFA audit.
- Rationalize and review our service delivery and programming needs in the city.
Water System - Age Profile
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The graph shows the length of pipe installed over different decades, highlighting the age profile of the water system.
Water System Challenges

• A moderate percentage of water infrastructure is reaching the end of its useful service life.

• Some material types are not performing as expected and are experiencing premature failure.

• The cost of repairing and replacing the water system has increased dramatically over the past several years (>inflation).
Water System Challenges Cont.

- Numerous water main breaks occur each year, costing on average of $6,000 each.
- Redevelopment and increased density result in higher demand.
- A system upgrade is required to ensure compliance with the Drinking Water Protection Act.
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Storm Drain Challenges

- The majority of storm drain infrastructure is at, or, approaching the end of its useful service life.
- Cost of repairing and replacing the storm drain system has increased over the last several years (>inflation).
- Our commitments to monitor and control the quality of storm water discharges are increasing (i.e., Bowker Creek).
Sewer System
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Sewer System - Age Profile
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The City of Victoria
Sewer System Challenges

• The majority of our infrastructure is at, or, approaching the end of its useful service life.
• The cost of repairing and replacing the sewer system has increased (>inflation).
• A high percentage of extraneous water is entering the sewer system (inflow and infiltration).
• Increased development densities are resulting in capacity demand.
Road and Sidewalk Network
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Road and Sidewalk Network Challenges

- A moderate percentage of the road network is reaching the end of its useful service life.
- The deteriorating condition of the road network is due to deferral of maintenance.
- The cost of repairing and replacing the road network has increased (>inflation).
- The advanced age of many road network components results in increased maintenance requirements.
- Approximately 650 pothole complaints occur each year.
Street Lighting Challenges

• Two material types: galvanized (30 year life cycle) and non-galvanized (20 year life cycle).
• The majority are non-galvanized and have exceeded their useful life-cycle.
• Replacement costs are currently being assessed including both capital and ongoing lifecycle replacement.
Johnson Street Bridge

• Constructed in 1922; opened in 1924.
• Carries pedestrians, cyclists, a commuter train, transit and over 30,000 vehicles per day.
• 2009 Condition assessment study recommended that the bridge’s structural, mechanical and electrical components, including seismic vulnerability, be addressed by 2012
Johnson Street Bridge Challenges

• Nearing end of its service life

• Underwent structural, electrical, mechanical and substructure assessment in 2008

• Seismically vulnerable
## Infrastructure Replacement Cost

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure Type</th>
<th>Current Replacement Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civic Facilities</td>
<td>$ 209,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water System</td>
<td>$ 262,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Drain System</td>
<td>$ 362,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewer System</td>
<td>$ 312,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads (inclusive)</td>
<td>$ 465,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridges</td>
<td>$ 102,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Street Lighting</td>
<td>$ 13,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet and Equipment</td>
<td>$ 16,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>$1,742,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Condition Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure Type</th>
<th>Assessed Condition</th>
<th>Assessment Tools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civic Facilities</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>VFA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water System</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>Multi-variate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Drain System</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>PACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewer System</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>PACP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>Good (PCI=91.6)</td>
<td>dTIMS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The rating varies because of newer building inventories such as the BGCC, SOFMA, FH#2 and the Police Station.
Current Funding Levels Compared to Necessary Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Budgeted Annual Funding</th>
<th>Required Annual Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads (paving only)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funding (Millions of Dollars 2008)

- Budgeted Annual Funding
- Required Annual Funding
## Current Funding Levels and Deficits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure Type</th>
<th>2010 Actual Funding (Millions $)</th>
<th>2010 Recommended Funding (Millions $)</th>
<th>2010 Funding Deficit (Millions $)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civic Facilities</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.3 (1)</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water System</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>5.3 (2,3)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Drain System</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.7(2,3)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewer System</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>6.2(2,3)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads (paving only)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.0 (4)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>10.8</strong></td>
<td><strong>21.5</strong></td>
<td><strong>10.7</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Amount required for systemic replacement and the mitigation of deferred maintenance.
2) Recommended funding level to have no deferred maintenance within 20 years
3) To be decreased to one percent of replacement value after 20 years
4) Recommended funding level to ensure maintenance of current system condition
Infrastructure Deficit

- The City’s total infrastructure deficit is 467 million dollars

- The City’s infrastructure deficit will continue to increase unless measures are taken to address the challenges presented by aging infrastructure and other emergent issues
# Strategies to Address Aging Infrastructure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure Type</th>
<th>GIS AM</th>
<th>PSAB 3150</th>
<th>Best Management Practices</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Alternative Funding</th>
<th>Financial Strategy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civic Facilities</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water System</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storm Drain System</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary Sewer System</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ *</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Bridges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alternative Funding</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>Utility</th>
<th>Grant</th>
<th>20 Year Capital Plan</th>
<th>General Revenue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Bridges
2008 Citizens Survey
City Budget Priorities
Capital Projects

How Citizens Would Spend $100 for Capital Projects

- City Sewer, Drainage & Water Systems: $15.71
- Environmental Protection & Enhancement: $11.18
- Bicycle/Pedestrian Infrastructure: $11.07
- Beautification & Revitalization: $10.04
- Parks & Pathways: $9.25
- Recreation & Community Facilities: $8.30
- Arts & Cultural Facilities: $8.13
- Roads & Traffic Calming: $7.32
- City Buildings: $5.18
- Other: $7.30
Conclusions

- Infrastructure is critical to the economic, environmental, social and cultural sustainability of the City.

- The quality of life enjoyed by City’s citizens is directly related to the condition of the infrastructure.

- The CoV infrastructure is amongst the oldest in Canada.

- Much of the infrastructure is nearing the end of, or exceeding, its design life.
These challenges can be addressed by:

- applying asset management principles,
- applying of new technology,
- implementing industry best-practices, and
- Increasing funding.

- Undertaking a review of “all” City infrastructure (i.e. Recreation Amenities, Parks, Technology, Equipment, Lands, Traffic Control, Streets, Waste Management Equipment, Public Art, Etc.).

- Building up the Reserve Funds
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